REMARKS

This Response is submitted in reply to the Final Office Action dated November 10, 2009. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and further examination of the patent application pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.113.

Summary of the Examiner's rejections

Claims 1-3, 11, 22-23, and 28-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokuped et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631).

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Bovo et. al. (US 2003/0148755).

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Kavanagh (US 2003/0058161).

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Satt et. al. (US 2004/0248583).

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631), Satt et. al. (US 2004/0248583) and Bovo et. al. (US 2003/0148755).

Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631), Satt et. al. (US 2004/0248583) and Kavanagh (US 2003/0058161).

Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Komandur et. al. (US 7327708).

Claims 12 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Jiang et. al. (US 2002/0044527).

Claims 13, 14, and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Chamaytelli et. al. (US 2002/0194325).

Claims 17 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Sen et. al. (US 6208620).

Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Johnson et. al. (US 2003/0237016).

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Yokoyma et. al. (US 2004/0243715).

Claims 24-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Lau et. al. (US 7466652).

Claim 31 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorokopud et. al. (US 2005/0058161) in view of Snyder et. al. (US 2003/0134631) and Nelson et. al. (US 7280803).

Remarks regarding the §103(a) rejections

Applicant has submitted herewith a declaration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.131 to overcome the Examiner's closest prior art US 2005/0058161 A1 (Sorokuped) which was used in combination with other references to reject the pending claims 1-8, 10-20 and 22-31. In view of the 1.131 declaration, Applicant respectfully requests the withdraw of the pending 103 rejections and the allowance of the pending claims 1-8, 10-20 and 22-31.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the application to be in a condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicant

Appl. No. 10/595,246

Reply to Office action of November 10, 2009

Attorney Docket No. P18622-US1

EUS/J/P/10-5010

respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of

Allowance for pending claims 1-8, 10-20 and 22-31.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees for this paper and the

co-filed RCE to Deposit Account No. 50-1379.

Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or

requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the

Application.

Respectfully submitted,

William J. Tucker, Reg. No. 41356/

By William J. Tucker Registration No. 41,356

Date: February 10, 2010

Ericsson Inc.

6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11

Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-2608 or (214) 324-7280

william.tucker@ericsson.com

Attachments: Declaration Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.131

Signed copy of Invention Disclosure